Information contained in these materials or presented orally on the earnings conference call, either in prepared remarks or in response to questions, contains forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. For more information, we direct you to our 2013 MD&A and our third quarter 2014 MD&A, as well as the last slide of this presentation.

This presentation also contains certain non-GAAP financial measures that do not have any standardized meaning and therefore are unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. For more information regarding these non-GAAP measures, please see our 2013 MD&A and our third quarter 2014 MD&A.
• **Methanol Global Leader**
  • Leading market share, competitive assets, strong balance sheet

• **Positive Industry Outlook**
  • Healthy demand growth outlook, limited new supply

• **Strong Cash Flow Generation & Distributions**
  • 5% Normal course issuer bid implemented April 2014
    • ~45% of shares bought back since 2000
    • Dividend increased 10 times since implemented in 2002; ~1.8% yield

• **Growth Potential**
  • Production: Geismar, Louisiana; Chile
  • Demand growth into energy applications & Methanol-to-Olefins (MTO)

• **Value**
  • Attractive cash flow multiple and discount to replacement value
Industry Overview

- ~59 million tonnes annual global demand\(^1\)
- Top producers account for ~ half of global sales
- Methanex is the global leader
  - ~15% global market share\(^2\)
  - Presence in all major regions
  - Methanex posted methanol prices are a key pricing reference in all major markets

Source: Methanex

\(^1\) Estimated annualized demand at Q3, 2014 (excluding integrated methanol to olefins (MTO) demand). Source: Methanex

\(^2\) Global market share is Methanex’s share of total methanol sales excluding methanol consumed by integrated MTO producers. Source: Methanex
Methanol End Uses

Traditional Uses (60% of Demand)

- **Formaldehyde**
  - Wood Industry, Pharmaceuticals, Automotive

- **Acetic Acid**
  - Fleece, Adhesives, Paints

- **Methyl Methacrylate**
  - PMMA- LCD screens, automotive

- **Methyl Chloride**
  - Silicones

Energy & MTO (40% of Demand; High Growth)

- **Fuel Blending**
- **DME (di-methyl-ether)**

- **Methanol-to-Olefins**
- **MTBE**
- **MTO**
- **Marine Fuels**
Methanol Usage..

...By Derivative

- Formaldehyde: 30%
- Acetic Acid: 10%
- MTBE: 12%
- Fuel Blending: 12%
- DME: 6%
- MTO: 5%
- Biodiesel: 4%
- Other: 21%

...By Region

- China: 43%
- Asia Pacific (ex. China): 21%
- North America: 12%
- Europe: 20%
- Latin America: 4%

Source: Methanex – last 12 months as at September 30, 2014
Industry Review – Strong Demand Growth

• Projected 8.0% CAGR, led by energy applications

2004 – 2014 CAGR:
Energy: 12.3%
Total: 6.3%

2015 – 2018 CAGR:
Energy: 11.1%
Total: 8.0%

Demand expected to outpace new capacity over next several years

A number of projects under discussion, but limited committed capital

Supply gap will be filled through a combination of new China supply and higher operating rates for existing high-cost China plants, or lower demand

Source: IHS Chemical and Methanex. IHS Chemical demand growth forecast excludes integrated demand for methanol into olefins; new capacity additions per Methanex estimates. Included in “Other Industry Participants” (in millions of tonnes) – OCI 1.9; Celanese 1.3; Russia 0.5; Libya 0.4; Other misc. 0.5
Methanol-to-Energy

- Methanol is primarily made from natural gas
- High priced oil versus natural gas creates substitution incentive
- Methanol is a liquid fuel and oil substitute

Source: Historical annual data and forecast from IHS Chemical, November 2014
Methanol / Oil Relationship

- Significant growth in energy applications developed, and should grow, when oil to natural gas price ratio exceeds 15:1
- Energy applications continue to earn competitive margins at current prices
- Floor methanol price set by cost curve (not by oil price). High end of cost curve is set by China natural gas and coal based production.

Source: Historical annual data and forecast from IHS Chemical, November 2014
Methanol Industry Cost Curve

- Steep cost curve
- High-end set by China natural gas and coal based production
- Methanex plants in bottom 2/3 of cost curve

Source: Methanex
Methanol to Olefins (MTO)

- MTO is a fast growing oil product substitution opportunity
- Two main pathways progressing
  - Integrated – olefins produced directly from coal, methanol an intermediate step
  - Merchant (MTO) – methanol purchased from external suppliers
- China merchant capacity is developing rapidly
# MTO demand to grow significantly

- 5 merchant plants operating today
- 8 more plants under construction expected to start-up 2014-2016
- Most of the merchant MTO projects are located in East China and buy both local and imported methanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Start-up</th>
<th>Number of Plants</th>
<th>Methanol Capacity (KMT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Currently Operating</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioning</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1 2015</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 2015</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1 2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,570</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Methanex
Methanol Affordability into Olefins (MTO)

• MTO plants are not able to switch to Naptha as feedstock once constructed

• Many producers are integrated downstream beyond ethylene and propylene (polyethylene, monoethylene glycol, etc.)

• Methanol affordability depends on the economics of the relative olefins derivative that is being made

• MTO producers still earning comfortable margins at the current oil price
Di-Methyl Ether (DME)

- DME can be blended directly with LPG (propane) up to approximately 20%
- DME demand is approximately 4 million tonnes per year.
- Much of the methanol being consumed as a raw material for DME comes from consumers own methanol production
- DME generally trades above its energy value relative to LPG
- 2014 DME operating rates have been steady despite methanol price volatility

¹ Source: Methanex
Methanol as a Fuel

- Methanol has attractive features as a transportation fuel:
  - Liquid fuel – can be blended with gasoline and ethanol in today’s vehicles at minimal incremental costs
  - High octane fuel which reduces emissions when blended with (or substituted for) gasoline
  - A safe fuel which biodegrades quickly (compared to petroleum fuels) in case of a spill. The toxicity is similar to gasoline.
  - No technical hurdles either in terms of vehicle application or of distribution infrastructure to introduce methanol significantly into a marketplace.
  - Can be produced from renewable feedstock

For further information, see June 6, 2011 MIT study “The Future of Natural Gas” (section on Conversion to Liquid Fuels beginning page 125 of the report) at http://mitei.mit.edu/publications/reports-studies
Fuel demand expected to continue growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Local Methanol Gasoline Standards</th>
<th>Implemented Since</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gansu</td>
<td>M15 &amp; M30</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guizhou</td>
<td>M15</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebei</td>
<td>M15 &amp; M30</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heilongjiang</td>
<td>M15</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>M45</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liaoning</td>
<td>M15</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaanxi</td>
<td>M15 &amp; M25</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong</td>
<td>M15</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi</td>
<td>M5, M15, M85 &amp; M100</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>M10</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xinjiang</td>
<td>M15 &amp; M30</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>M15, M30 &amp; M50</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methanol affordability as a fuel

- Methanol a highly affordable gasoline substitute in China
- $3.65 domestic China wholesale gasoline price equivalent to approx. $600 per tonne methanol (energy equivalent basis) or $1,200 per tonne (volume basis)
- Most fuel blending in China is at low percentages and sold based on volume

China (Nanjing) Wholesale Gasoline Price, Oct 31, 2014: ~$3.66/gallon
USGC Conventional Regular Gasoline Price, Oct. 31, 2014: ~$2.03/gallon

Sources: Oil and Gas China, US Department of Energy, Methanex, Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research Jan-Feb 2003 – study showed methanol offered 15% fuel efficiency improvement
MTG & MTA emerging opportunity

- Methanol-to-Gasoline (MTG) and Methanol-to-Aromatics (MTA) are emerging methanol demand segments
- Six plants today using ExxonMobil’s MTG two-step technology (DME as intermediate) or Sedin Engineering Co., Ltd. one-step MTG technology
- Inland locations generally integrated; coastal areas primarily merchant
- No commercial MTA to date, but successful 10k tonne pilot plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>MTG Producers</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>MeOH Demand (KMT)</th>
<th>Start-up</th>
<th>MeOH Supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jincheng Tianxi</td>
<td>Jincheng, Shanxi</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Q4 2009</td>
<td>Integrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Qinghua Group</td>
<td>Alxa, Inner Mongolia</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Q1 2012</td>
<td>Internal Supply &amp; Purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Xinjiang Xinye</td>
<td>Wujiagu, Xinjiang</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Q4 2013</td>
<td>Purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yunnan Xianfeng</td>
<td>Kunming, Yunnan</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Q2 2014</td>
<td>Internal Supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tangshan Jingjie</td>
<td>Tangshan, Hebei</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Q3 2014</td>
<td>Purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pingyuan Jindiheng</td>
<td>Dezhou, Shandong</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Q4 2014</td>
<td>Purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Zhejiang New Energy</td>
<td>Jiaxing, Zhejiang</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Q4 2014</td>
<td>Purchase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 2,600
Methanol / DME as a Fuel Outside China

• Europe permits 3% methanol blending today
• Australia - Coogee demonstration project targeting limited launch of methanol blends in 2014
• Israel - M15 demo program (market potential ~400kta), target commercial introduction in the next few years
• Other countries with demo programs: Azerbaijan, Denmark, Uzbekistan, Iran, Libya
• North America
  • Open Fuel Standard Bill recently re-introduced in Congress
  • Oberon Fuels producing DME

Methanol / gasoline pump at Coogee plant site
Methanol as a Marine Fuel – Regulations Driving Change

- Northern Europe and North America introducing tighter ship emissions regulations starting Jan 2015. In 2020, IMO scheduled to require all marine fuels globally to be less than 0.5% sulphur.
  - 40 MMTPA methanol equivalent market in Northern Europe Sulphur Emissions Control Area alone
  - Stena Ferry Lines has confirmed its plans to convert the 240m, 1,500-passenger ship ‘Stena Germanica’ to run on methanol fuel using a Wartsilla’s 4-stroke engine. The 6-wk conversion will commence Jan 2015.
  - Methanex’s Waterfront Shipping also announced plans use flex-fuel vessels capable of running on methanol based on Man Diesel & Turbo’s 2 stroke engine. The ships are expected to be delivered in 2016.
# Methanex Production Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Annual Production Capacity (000 tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>I, IV</td>
<td>1988 / 2005</td>
<td>1,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>II, III</td>
<td>1996 / 1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA (Geismar)</td>
<td>Geismar, Louisiana</td>
<td>2014-16</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>(50%)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Motunui 1</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Motunui 2</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Waitara Valley</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad</td>
<td>Titan</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad</td>
<td>Atlas (63%)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>9,340</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Egypt sale of 10% share of EMethanex to APICORP in 2013 reduced Methanex’s ownership to 50%.

2 Potential total capacity for Motunui plants is 1.7 to 1.9 million tonnes depending on natural gas composition.
Geismar Project Update

- Geismar 1
  - Construction complete late 2014
  - First methanol January 2015
- Geismar 2
  - Plant start up targeted for late Q1 2016
  - All equipment on site
- Attractive project attributes:
  - 10-year natural gas contract with Chesapeake to supply one plant
  - 11-year gas transportation agreement with Gulf South Pipeline for G2 gas
  - Capital and schedule savings vs. greenfield
  - Attractive business environment & large methanol consuming region in Louisiana
Chile – Potential Sources of Upside

• First prize: two-plant operation in Chile, supported by
  • Ongoing unconventional gas exploration and development in Chile
  • Argentina tolling arrangement
  • Argentina shale gas (EIA estimates over 500 tcf in the country)

• Chile IV relocation
  • Decision in the next 12 months
  • Issues to examine include Chile/Argentina gas prospects, capital costs increase and securing pricing certainty for feedstock

• Settlement of legal disputes related to gas contracts
  • Reached settlement in May 2014 with Total Austral for $42 million to terminate all remaining obligations under their gas supply agreement
  • Arbitration underway with one supplier of Argentinean non delivered gas
Impressive Financial Results

• Average Modified ROCE of 15% from 2004-2013

1) Adjusted EPS = Adjusted net income per common share attributable to Methanex shareholders (excludes the after-tax mark-to-market impact of share-based compensation and items that are considered by management to be non-operational)

2) Modified ROCE = Adjusted net income before after-tax finance costs (after-tax) divided by average productive capital employed. Average productive capital employed is the sum of average total assets (excluding plants under production) less the average of current non-interest-bearing liabilities).

3) Adjusted Net income, Adjusted EPS and Modified ROCE are non-GAAP measures - for more information regarding this non-GAAP measure, please see our 2013 MD&A and our second quarter, 2014 MD&A.
Valuation Considerations

- Modest valuation relative to strong cash generation capability
- Significant upside potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(millions of tonnes)</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>With Growth Initiatives</th>
<th>Future Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Operating Capacity</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geismar 1 and 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile Incremental Potential</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approx. Annual EBITDA Capability (USD Billions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>With Growth Initiatives</th>
<th>Future Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$350/tonne realized</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$400/tonne realized</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$450/tonne realized</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Methanex ownership interest
2 EBITDA reflects Methanex’s proportionate ownership interest and assumes plants operate at full production rates
3 Based on US$55 share price and net debt adjusted for 50% interest in Egypt and 63.1% in Atlas

Compared to Current Enterprise Value ~ $6 Billion
### Q3-14 Liquidity & Capex Outlook

- **Strong financial position to execute growth opportunities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Capital Expenditures ¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(US$ millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geismar 1 &amp; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debt &amp; Liquidity at end of Q3-14 Pro Forma with $600 million in New Bonds (US$ millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt ²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash ²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undrawn Operator (Dec '16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt / Capitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Debt / Capitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Debt / Enterprise Value ³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Estimated maintenance capital for the 15 month period ended December 31, 2015; Geismar capital estimate is for the completion of the projects

² Includes 50% of Egypt debt & cash and 63.1% of Atlas debt and cash

³ Based on stock price of US$55/share
Returning Cash to Shareholders

- 25% dividend increase to $0.25 per share on April 29, 2014. Yield ~1.8%
- 2014 normal course issuer bid announced for up to 4.8 million shares
  - 61% of 2014 NCIB complete as at October 31, 2014
  - ~45% of shares bought back since 2000

1 Assumes a share price of US$55/share
Summary

• Positive industry dynamics
  • Growth led by methanol energy applications, MTO
• Global leader with competitive assets
• Solid franchise value that is difficult to replicate
  • Global marketing, supply chain and shipping network
• Strong cash generation & financial position
  • Attractively valued with considerable upside
• Company growth potential – Louisiana, Chile
• Distributions / share buybacks

Well-Positioned for Increased Returns to Shareholders
Q & A
Appendix
Methanol is...

- Primarily produced from natural gas

![Diagram showing the process of methanol production]

1. **Reforming** at ~900°C from natural gas, steam, and oxygen produces syngas (CO, CO₂, H₂).
2. **Compression** and **Cooling** to condense and separate the syngas.
3. **Synthesis** of crude methanol (CH₃OH, H₂O).
4. **Distillation** to obtain chemical-grade methanol (CH₃OH).
Methanol Consumers

- Concentrated consumer base
  - 30% of global demand from top 20 consumers
- Main consumers are large, global chemical companies:
  - Celanese, BP, Momentive, Skyford, Sabic, BASF, etc.
- Methanex supplies primarily traditional chemical derivative customers who value:
  - Security of supply
  - Global presence
  - Quality product
Methanex Cost Structure

• Natural gas
  • Long-term gas contracts have fixed base price and variable component linked to the price of methanol
  • Reduces exposure to low methanol prices; shares upside
  • Medicine Hat plant purchases gas on Alberta gas market

• Freight
  • Fleet of 17-18 leased and owned time charter vessels supplemented with shorter term COA vessels and spot vessel shipments
  • Integrated supply chain allows benefit of back-haul shipments
  • Network of leased and owned terminal infrastructure worldwide

• Fixed Manufacturing and G&A costs
  • Primarily people costs (approx. 1100 employees)

* Assumes average realized methanol price of approx. US$400/tonne (gas costs vary with methanol pricing).
Carbon Recycling International - Renewable Methanol in Iceland

- World’s greenest methanol – technology captures carbon dioxide from industrial emissions and converts it into Renewable Methanol
- Sales into Europe & Iceland gasoline blending market (M3)
- George Olah (GO) semi-commercial plant commissioned in 2011
- Growth plans – expand existing plant and add commercial scale plants
- Methanex became a CRI shareholder in 2013
Renewable Methanol

- Methanol and DME is produced from fossil fuels and renewables

LNG = Liquefied Natural Gas; DME = Di-Methyl Ether; OBATE = On Board Alcohol to Ether (i.e. methanol converted to DME on board ships)
Methanex Global Supply Chain
Operating Rates in China

- China has operated at ~50% based on nameplate capacity; however, market is tighter than it appears and effective operating rate is ~73% (source: MMSA)
- Many plants are not operational due to various factors including: operational problems/maintenance, inability to access feedstock, high cost, swung to ammonia production, emission controls, low rates of coking coal operations

Source: Methanol Markets Services Asia (MMSA); capacity and production includes Methanol to Olefins
Management Alignment

• Executive shareholding requirements:
  • CEO - 5 times salary in Methanex shares or share units
  • Senior executives (5 members) – 3 times salary
  • Other senior management (~50 employees) – 1 times salary

• Short-term incentive linked to ROCE (return on capital employed)

• Long-term incentive targets:
  • Stock options and share appreciation rights
  • Performance share units
    • Payout ratio linked to total shareholder return

“…..Management does well when shareholders do well!”
FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION WARNING

This Presentation, the Third Quarter 2014 Management’s Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") and comments made during the Third Quarter 2014 investor conference call contain forward-looking statements with respect to us and our industry. These statements relate to future events or our future performance. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Statements that include the words “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “potential,” “estimates,” “anticipates,” “aim,” “goal” or other comparable terminology and similar statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements.

More particularly and without limitation, any statements regarding the following are forward-looking statements: expected demand for methanol and its derivatives; expected new methanol supply or restart of idled capacity and timing for start-up of the same; expected shutdowns (either temporary or permanent) or restarts of existing methanol supply (including our own facilities), including, without limitation, the timing and length of planned maintenance outages; expected methanol and energy prices; expected levels of methanol purchases from traders or other third parties; expected levels, timing and availability of economically priced natural gas supply to each of our plants; capital committed by third parties towards future natural gas exploration and development in the vicinity of our plants; our expected capital expenditures; anticipated operating rates of our plants; expected operating costs, including natural gas feedstock costs and logistics costs; expected tax rates or resolutions to tax disputes; expected cash flows, earnings capability and share price; availability of committed credit facilities and other financing; ability to meet covenants or obtain or continue to obtain waivers associated with our long-term debt obligations; including, without limitation, the Egypt limited recourse debt facilities that have conditions associated with the payment of cash or other distributions and the finalization of certain land title registration and related mortgages that require action by Egyptian governmental entities; our shareholder distribution strategy and anticipated distributions to shareholders; commercial viability and timing of, or our ability to execute, future projects, plant restarts, capacity expansions, plant relocations, or other business initiatives or opportunities, including the planned relocation of idle Chile methanol plants to Geismar, Louisiana ("Geismar"); our financial strength and ability to meet future financial commitments; expected global or local economic activity (including industrial production levels); expected outcomes of litigation or other disputes, claims and assessments; expected actions of governments, government agencies, gas suppliers, courts, tribunals or other third parties; and expected impact on our operations in Egypt or our financial condition as a consequence of civil unrest or actions taken or inaction by the Government of Egypt and its agencies.

We believe that we have a reasonable basis for making such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this document are based on our experience, our perception of trends, current conditions and expected future developments as well as other factors. Certain material factors or assumptions were applied in drawing the conclusions or making the forecasts or projections that are included in these forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, future expectations and assumptions concerning the following: the supply of, demand for and price of methanol, methanol derivatives, natural gas, coal, oil and oil derivatives; our ability to procure natural gas feedstock on commercially acceptable terms; operating rates of our facilities; receipt or issuance of third-party consents or approvals; including, without limitation, governmental registrations of land title and related mortgages in Egypt, governmental approvals related to rights to purchase natural gas; the establishment of new fuel standards; operating costs including natural gas feedstock and logistics costs, capital costs, tax rates, cash flows, foreign exchange rates and interest rates; the availability of committed credit facilities and other financing; timing of completion and cost of our Geismar project; global and regional economic activity (including industrial production levels); absence of a material negative impact from major natural disasters; absence of a material negative impact from changes in laws or regulations; absence of a material negative impact from political instability in the countries in which we operate; and enforcement of contractual arrangements and ability to perform contractual obligations by customers, natural gas and other suppliers and other third parties.

However, forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties primarily include those attendant with producing and marketing methanol and successfully carrying out major capital expenditure projects. In various jurisdictions, including, without limitation: conditions in the methanol and other industries including fluctuations in the supply, demand for and price of methanol and its derivatives, including demand for methanol for energy uses; the price of natural gas, coal, oil and oil derivatives; the success of natural gas exploration and development activities in southern Chile; our ability to obtain natural gas feedstock on commercially acceptable terms to underpin current operations and future production growth opportunities; the ability to successfully carry out corporate initiatives and strategies; actions of competitors, suppliers and financial institutions; conditions within the natural gas delivery systems that may prevent delivery of our natural gas supply requirements; our ability to meet timeline and budget targets for our Geismar project, including cost pressures arising from labour costs; competing demand for natural gas, especially with respect to domestic needs for gas and electricity in Chile and Egypt; actions of governments and governmental authorities, including, without limitation, the implementation of policies or other measures that could impact the supply of or demand for methanol or its derivatives; changes in laws or regulations; import or export restrictions, anti-dumping measures, increases in duties, taxes and government royalties, and other actions by governments that may adversely affect our operations or existing contractual arrangements; world-wide economic conditions; satisfaction of conditions precedent contained in the Geismar natural gas supply agreement; and other risks described in our 2013 Management’s Discussion and Analysis and this Third Quarter 2014 Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

Having in mind these and other factors, investors and other readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. They are not a substitute for the exercise of one’s own due diligence and judgment. The outcomes anticipated in forward-looking statements may not occur and we do not undertake to update forward-looking statements except as required by applicable securities laws.
Thank You