



Methanex Investor Presentation

November 2015



A RESPONSIBLE CARE® COMPANY

Forward-looking Statements & Non-GAAP Measures

Information contained in these materials or presented orally on the earnings conference call, either in prepared remarks or in response to questions, contains forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. For more information, we direct you to our 2014 Annual MD&A and our second quarter 2015 MD&A, as well as slide 35 of this presentation.

This presentation also contains certain non-GAAP financial measures that do not have any standardized meaning and therefore are unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. For more information regarding these non-GAAP measures, please see our 2014 Annual MD&A and our second quarter 2015 MD&A.

Methanex - Investment Opportunity

Global Methanol Leader

- Leading market share
- Competitive assets
- Strong balance sheet

Positive Industry Outlook

- Healthy demand growth outlook
- Limited new supply

Strong Cash Flow Generation & Distributions

- Solid growth in cash generation capability
- 5% normal course issuer bid started May 6, 2015
- ~47% of shares bought back since 2000
- Dividend raised 11 times since implemented 2002; ~2.75% yield at a US\$40/share price

Growth Potential

- Production: Geismar, Louisiana; Chile
- Market: Demand growth into energy applications & MTO


Value

- Attractive cash flow multiple
- Trading at a discount to replacement cost

Industry Overview

- ~61 million tonnes annual global demand ¹
- Top producers account for ~ half of global sales
- Largest competitors are state-owned
- No major competitive shift anticipated
- Methanex is the global leader
 - ~15% market share²
 - Unique global position with sales in all major regions

2014 Estimated Global Market Share




Source: Methanex

¹ Estimated annualized demand as at Q3, 2015 (excluding integrated methanol to olefins (MTO) demand). Source: Methanex


² Global market share is Methanex's share of total methanol sales excluding methanol consumed by integrated MTO producers. Source: Methanex

Methanol End Uses




Methanol Usage...

...By Derivative




...By Region



Source: Methanex – last twelve months ended Sept 30, 2015

Industry Review – Strong Demand Growth


- Projected 7.8% CAGR, led by energy applications



Source: IHS Chemical, October 2015. Excludes integrated methanol demand for methanol to olefins and propylene.

Demand / Supply Balance

- Demand expected to outpace new capacity over next several years
- A number of projects under discussion, but limited committed capital
- Expect supply gap will be filled through a combination of new China supply, higher operating rates for existing high-cost China plants, or lower demand




Sources: *Demand: IHS Chemical, October 2015. Excludes integrated methanol demand for methanol to olefins and propylene.

**Supply: Methanex. "Other" is net of expected shut-ins outside China of approximately 0.7 million tonnes.


Methanol-to-Energy

- Methanol is primarily made from natural gas, and is a liquid fuel and oil product substitute
- High priced oil versus natural gas creates substitution incentive
- Energy applications emerged in the 2008⁺ period when the ratio of oil \$/bbl and natural gas \$/mmbtu prices exceeded 15:1



Source: Historical annual data and forecast from IHS Chemical, October 2015


Methanol Industry Cost Curve



- Steep cost curve at high end
- High-end set today primarily by China coal based production, some natural gas
- Methanex plants in bottom 1/2 of cost curve


Methanex Realized Pricing History

- Methanex posts reference prices monthly in Asia and North America and quarterly in Europe
- Realized pricing is lower than reference prices due to discounts specified in contracts



Source: Methanex

Methanol-to-Olefins (MTO) / Methanol-to-Propylene (MTP)



Ningbo Skyford's 1.8 MMT merchant methanol to 0.6 MMT olefins plant

- MTO/MTP is a fast growing oil product substitution opportunity
- Two main pathways
 - Upstream Integrated (CTO) – olefins produced directly from coal, methanol an intermediate step
 - Merchant (MTO/MTP) – methanol purchased from external suppliers
- China merchant capacity is developing rapidly

MTO/MTP Demand Leading Growth

Estimated Start-up	Number of Plants	Methanol Demand Capacity* (million MT)
Completed	11	10.2
Q4 2015	2	3.6
H1 2016	3	4.8
Total	16	18.6

**Capacity at 100% operating rates*



Nanjing Wison's 0.8 MMT merchant methanol to 0.3 MMT olefins plant

- 11 merchant plants today, potential methanol demand just over 10 million MT
- 5 more plants under construction expected to start-up 2015-2016, incremental demand potential almost 8.5 million MT
- 2015 combined MTO/MTP operating rate approximately 60%

Source: Methanex

- CTO/MTO is strategic for China to reduce reliance on imported hydrocarbons (oil & gas) for making key chemicals. It also allows China to diversify its supply of raw materials for olefins.
- China currently imports 40% of the 60 million tonnes of olefins and derivatives it consumes today, largely from the Middle East and Asia.
- Most of the coastal MTO plants are downstream integrated, producing different products and with unique economics.
- Methanol affordability depends on the economics of the relative olefins derivative that is being made.
- MTO plants that are integrated with downstream production are earning positive margins at current oil and methanol prices. The economics of plants without downstream integration are more marginal today.

Di-Methyl Ether (DME)

- DME can be blended directly with LPG (propane) up to approximately 20% for cooking and heating applications.
- Future promising application for DME is as a diesel replacement:
 - Oberon Fuels Produces DME in the U.S.
 - ASTM Standard issued, California approval, qualified under U.S. Renewable Fuel Standard.
 - Volvo developing DME trucks.
 - Ford and German government is leading project to test DME in passenger vehicles.



DME as propane substitute



Volvo DME Truck


Methanol as a Fuel

- Methanol has attractive features as a transportation fuel:
 - Liquid fuel – can be blended with gasoline and ethanol in today's vehicles at minimal incremental costs.
 - High octane fuel which reduces emissions when blended with (or substituted for) gasoline.
 - A safe fuel which biodegrades quickly (compared to petroleum fuels) in case of a spill. The toxicity is similar to gasoline.
 - No technical hurdles either in terms of vehicle application or of distribution infrastructure to introduce methanol significantly into a marketplace.
 - Can be produced from renewable feedstock.

For further information, see June 6, 2011 MIT study "The Future of Natural Gas" (section on Conversion to Liquid Fuels beginning page 125 of the report) at <http://mitei.mit.edu/publications/reports-studies>




China Fuel Demand Growth Expected to Continue




Methanol Fuel Blending Growing Outside China

- Several countries outside China in the assessment or near-commercial stage for fuel blending, however minimal demand is included in current forecasts from these regions



Methanol Affordability as a Fuel

- Methanol is a highly affordable gasoline substitute in China.
- Most fuel blending in China is at low percentages and sold based on volume.




China (Nanjing) Wholesale Gasoline Price: **\$2.60/gallon* Sept 30, 2015**
 USGC Conventional Regular Gasoline Price: **\$1.36/gallon Sept 30, 2015**

* Net of 17% VAT. Sources: Oil and Gas China, US Department of Energy, Methanex

Marine Fuel Industry Transitioning to Cleaner Fuels

- 100,000+ commercial vessels moving around the world every day primarily operating on Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO)*
- HFO has high sulphur – negative impact on air quality / health. Methanol is sulphur free.
 - Sulphur emissions from 5 large container ships > Emissions from all cars in the U.S. (11,000 tpa sulphur)



- N. Europe and N. America reduced allowable limited sulphur emissions to 0.1% starting Jan '15 which precludes Heavy Fuel Oil. In 2020, IMO is targeting all marine fuels globally to be less than 0.5% sulphur.
- 40 MMTPA methanol equivalent market in Northern Europe Sulphur Emissions Control Area alone

*Source: Distribution Consulting Services, Inc

Methanol as a Marine Fuel

Economical:

- Competitive Fuel Cost
- Modest incremental vessel cost
- Small infrastructure cost (liquid fuel)

Practical:

- Minor modifications (fuel system)
- Flex-fuel option (can continue to use diesel)
- Environmental benefits (lower SOx, particulates, NOx)




- Stena Ferry Lines converting its 1,500 passenger ship 'Stena Germanica' to run on methanol fuel using Wartsilla's 4-stroke engine. The first engine conversion was completed in March, 2015 with the remaining 3 engines targeted to be completed by year end.



- Methanex's Waterfront Shipping has ordered 7 flex-fuel vessels capable of running on methanol based on MAN Diesel & Turbo's 2 stroke engine. The ships are expected to be delivered in 2016.


Methanol has Modest Fuel & Conversion Costs



Source: Effship Project Summary Report, 2013 (* Costs do not include infrastructure development). Fuel cost based on market price 2012. Conversion based on 5 years pay-back and 6% interest

Methanol as a Marine Fuel

- Methanol (MEOH) achieves low emissions & bridge to lower CO₂ in the future (renewable/bio methanol)



Source: Stena (4-stroke engine testing)

Methanex Production Capacity

	Year <u>Built</u>	Annual Production <u>Capacity</u> (000 tonnes)
Chile I, IV	1988 / 2005	1,720
Louisiana, USA		
Geismar 1	2015	1,000
Geismar 2	2015 est.	1,000
Egypt (50%)	2011	630
Medicine Hat, Alberta	1981	560
New Zealand		
Motunui 1 ¹	1985	950
Motunui 2 ¹	1985	950
Waitara Valley	1983	530
Trinidad		
Titan	2000	875
Atlas (63%)	2004	1,125
TOTAL		9,340



Chile



USA (Geismar)



New Zealand



Trinidad



Canada (Medicine Hat)



Egypt

¹ Potential total capacity for Motunui plants is 1.7 to 1.9 million tonnes depending on natural gas composition

Geismar Project Update

Geismar 1:

- Completed on schedule
- Operating well, at more than 3,000 tonnes per day.



Geismar 2:

- On target for end of 2015
- Estimated \$110 million remaining to spend




- Potential to optimize site with third plant using oxygen technology
- Attractive project attributes:
 - 10-year natural gas contract with Chesapeake to supply Geismar 1
 - Gas price for 40% of Geismar 2 feedstock requirements hedged for 10 years
 - 11-year gas transportation agreement with Gulf South Pipeline for G2 gas
 - Capital and schedule savings vs. greenfield
 - Attractive business environment & large methanol consuming region in Louisiana

Chile – Potential Sources of Upside

- First prize: two-plant operation in Chile, supported by
 - Chile gas:
 - Ongoing unconventional gas exploration and development in Chile
 - ENAP estimates G7 formation in the western area of the Magallanes to have close to 3.5 TCF of gas resources
 - In July '15 the El Arenal block of the G7 reached production of one million cubic meters / day, or 2/3 of the winter consumption of gas in the region
 - Argentina gas
 - Argentina tolling arrangement
 - Argentina shale gas (EIA estimates over 500 tcf in the country)
- Legal disputes related to gas contracts
 - Reached settlement in May 2014 with Total Austral for \$42 million to settle all claims as well as to terminate the gas supply agreement
 - Arbitration underway with one supplier for non-delivery of Argentine gas

Impressive Financial Results

- Average Modified ROCE of 15% from 2005-2014



1) Adjusted EPS = Adjusted net income per common share attributable to Methanex shareholders (excludes the after-tax mark-to-market impact of share-based compensation and the impact of certain items associated with specific identified events)
 2) Modified ROCE = Adjusted net income before after-tax finance costs (after-tax) divided by average productive capital employed. Average productive capital employed is the sum of average total assets (excluding plants under production) less the average of current non-interest-bearing liabilities).
 3) Adjusted Net income, Adjusted EPS and Modified ROCE are non-GAAP measures - for more information regarding this non-GAAP measure, please see our 2014 annual MD&A and our second quarter, 2015 MD&A.

Valuation Considerations

- Methanex is trading at a discount to replacement cost

<i>millions of tonnes</i> ¹	Capacity incl. Growth
Trinidad	2.0
Chile	0.4
USA (Geismar)	2.0
New Zealand	2.4
Canada (Medicine Hat)	0.6
Egypt	0.6
Total	8.0
Enterprise Value (\$billions) ²	4.6
Capital Adjustment	
Geismar	0.1
Adjusted Enterprise Value	4.7
Adj. Enterprise Value/Tonne ³	580



Compared to
Replacement Cost:
~\$1,000/ tonne +
(estimate)

¹ Methanex ownership interest

² Based on share price of US\$40 and net debt adjusted for 50% interest in Egypt Project and 63.1% interest in Atlas project

³ Figures do not give any value for: idle Chile capacity, Waterfront Shipping and Marketing/Franchise

Valuation Considerations

- Strong cash generation capability at a range of methanol prices

	With Egypt & Trinidad Restrictions ²	Full Operating Capacity ³	Full Potential (Chile 100%)
Annual Operating Capacity ¹ <i>(millions of tonnes)</i>	7.4	8.0	9.3
Avg Realized Price (\$/MT)	<u>Adjusted EBITDA Capability (\$ billions)</u>⁴		
\$350	0.8	0.9	1.0
\$400	1.0	1.1	1.3
\$450	1.2	1.4	1.6
	<u>Free Cash Flow Capability (\$ billions)</u>⁵		
\$350	0.5	0.6	0.7
\$400	0.7	0.8	0.9
\$450	0.9	1.0	1.1
	<u>Free Cash Flow Yield Capability %</u>⁶		
\$350	13%	16%	18%
\$400	19%	22%	25%
\$450	24%	27%	31%

¹ Methanex ownership interest (63.1% Atlas, 50% Egypt)

² Assumes Trinidad operating rate of 85% and Egypt operating rate of 50%. We cannot predict actual gas restrictions at these plants.

³ Includes full nameplate capacity including Geismar 2, but excluding 1.3 million tonnes idle Chile capacity

⁴ Adjusted EBITDA reflects Methanex's proportionate ownership interest and assumes plants operate at full production rates except where indicated

⁵ After cash interest, maintenance capital of approximately \$80 million, cash taxes, debt service and other cash payments

⁶ Based on 90.7 million weighted average diluted shares for Q3, 2015 and share price of US\$40/share


EBITDA and Cash Flow Sensitivities

Assumptions:

- Price: \$400/tonne ARP
- Volume: 8.0 million tonnes


Results:

- EBITDA: \$1.1 Billion
- Free Cash Flow: \$800 million



- Strong financial position to execute growth opportunities

Estimated Capital Expenditures ¹	
<i>(US\$ millions)</i>	
Geismar	~ 110
Maintenance	~ 105
TOTAL	~ 215



Debt & Liquidity at end of Q3-15	
<i>(US\$ millions)</i>	
Total Debt ²	1,358
Liquidity	
Cash ²	379
Undrawn Operator (Dec '19)	400
	779
Total Debt / Capitalization	43%
Net Debt / Capitalization	35%
Net Debt / Enterprise Value ³	21%

¹ Estimated maintenance capital from Sept 30, 2015 to end of 2016; Geismar capital estimate is for the completion of the project

² Includes 50% of Egypt debt & cash and 63.1% of Atlas debt and cash

³ Based on stock price of US\$40/share

Leverage – Rating Agency Perspective

- **Leverage target = Investment Grade**
 - Preserves financial flexibility
 - Lowers cost of debt
 - Access to longer-term bond market, shipping market, etc.
 - Higher credit capacity for financial instruments to hedge gas exposures, etc.
- **Moody's Baa3, S&P BBB-, Fitch BBB-**
 - ~3.0x Debt/EBITDA is key threshold
- **\$400 million undrawn credit facility**
 - Backstop liquidity

Pro Forma Balance Sheet with Geismar 2

(US\$ billions unless indicated)

Total Debt ¹		Q3'15
Total Debt		1.4
Leases ²		<u>1.1</u>
Adjusted Debt (including leases)		2.5
Equity		1.8
Adjusted Debt/EBITDA		
<u>ARP</u>	<u>EBITDA</u> ³	<u>Debt/EBITDA</u>
350	0.9	2.8
400	1.1	2.2
450	1.4	1.8


¹ Includes Methanex proportionate share of debt & cash

² Approx. adjustment for leases based on Moodys and S&P methods

³ "With Trinidad and Egypt Gas Restrictions" EBITDA scenario from earlier slide, plus \$125 million adjustment reflecting lease portion of COGS

Returning Cash to Shareholders

- Meaningful, sustainable and growing dividend - \$0.275/share per quarter, yield ~2.75%¹
- ~47% of shares bought back since 2000
 - Current 4.6 million share normal course issuer bid (5% of public float) expires May 6, 2016
- Approximately \$340 million returned to shareholders in 2014; \$211 million YTD 2015



¹ Assumes a share price of US\$40/share

- Positive industry dynamics
 - Global leader with competitive assets
 - Solid franchise value that is difficult to replicate
 - Global marketing, supply chain and shipping network
 - Strong cash generation & financial position
 - Attractively valued with considerable upside
 - Track record of delivering value creating growth projects
 - Company growth potential – Louisiana and Chile
 - Distributions / share buybacks
-



Well-Positioned for Increased Returns to Shareholders

Forward-looking Statements

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION WARNING

This Presentation, our Second Quarter 2015 Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") and comments made during the Second Quarter 2015 investor conference call contain forward-looking statements with respect to us and our industry. These statements relate to future events or our future performance. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Statements that include the words "believes," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "potential," "estimates," "anticipates," "aim," "goal" or other comparable terminology and similar statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements. More particularly and without limitation, any statements regarding the following are forward-looking statements: expected demand for methanol and its derivatives, expected new methanol supply or restart of idled capacity and timing for start-up of the same, expected shutdowns (either temporary or permanent) or restarts of existing methanol supply (including our own facilities), including, without limitation, the timing and length of planned maintenance outages, expected methanol and energy prices, expected levels of methanol purchases from traders or other third parties, expected levels, timing and availability of economically priced natural gas supply to each of our plants, capital committed by third parties towards future natural gas exploration and development in the vicinity of our plants, our expected capital expenditures, anticipated operating rates of our plants, expected operating costs, including natural gas feedstock costs and logistics costs, expected tax rates, tax deductions, or resolutions to tax disputes, expected cash flows, earnings capability and share price, availability of committed credit facilities and other financing, our ability to meet covenants or obtain or continue to obtain waivers associated with our long-term debt obligations, including, without limitation, the Egypt limited recourse debt facilities that have conditions associated with the payment of cash or other distributions and the finalization of certain land title registrations and related mortgages which require actions by Egyptian governmental entities, expected impact on our results of operations in Egypt or our financial condition as a consequence of civil unrest or actions taken or inaction by the Government of Egypt and its agencies, our shareholder distribution strategy and anticipated distributions to shareholders, commercial viability and timing of, or our ability to execute, future projects, plant restarts, capacity expansions, plant relocations, or other business initiatives or opportunities, including the completion of the Geismar project, our financial strength and ability to meet future financial commitments, expected global or regional economic activity (including industrial production levels), expected outcomes of litigation or other disputes, claims and assessments, and expected actions of governments, government agencies, gas suppliers, courts, tribunals or other third parties.

We believe that we have a reasonable basis for making such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this document are based on our experience, our perception of trends, current conditions and expected future developments as well as other factors. Certain material factors or assumptions were applied in drawing the conclusions or making the forecasts or projections that are included in these forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, future expectations and assumptions concerning the following: the supply of, demand for and price of methanol, methanol derivatives, natural gas, coal, oil and oil derivatives, our ability to procure natural gas feedstock on commercially acceptable terms, operating rates of our facilities, receipt or issuance of third-party consents or approvals, including, without limitation, governmental registrations of land title and related mortgages in Egypt and governmental approvals related to rights to purchase natural gas, the establishment of new fuel standards, operating costs, including natural gas feedstock and logistics costs, capital costs, tax rates, tax deductions, cash flows, foreign exchange rates and interest rates, the availability of committed credit facilities and other financing, timing of completion and cost of our Geismar project, global and regional economic activity (including industrial production levels), absence of a material negative impact from major natural disasters, absence of a material negative impact from changes in laws or regulations, absence of a material negative impact from political instability in the countries in which we operate, and enforcement of contractual arrangements and ability to perform contractual obligations by customers, natural gas and other suppliers and other third parties.

However, forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties primarily include those attendant with producing and marketing methanol and successfully carrying out major capital expenditure projects in various jurisdictions, including, without limitation: conditions in the methanol and other industries including fluctuations in the supply, demand and price for methanol and its derivatives, including demand for methanol for energy uses, the price of natural gas, coal, oil and oil derivatives, our ability to obtain natural gas feedstock on commercially acceptable terms to underpin current operations and future production growth opportunities, the ability to carry out corporate initiatives and strategies, actions of competitors, suppliers and financial institutions, conditions within the natural gas delivery systems that may prevent delivery of our natural gas supply requirements, our ability to meet timeline and budget targets for our Geismar project, including cost pressures arising from labour costs, competing demand for natural gas, especially with respect to domestic needs for gas and electricity in Chile and Egypt, actions of governments and governmental authorities, including, without limitation, the implementation of policies or other measures that could impact the supply of or demand for methanol or its derivatives, changes in laws or regulations, import or export restrictions, anti-dumping measures, increases in duties, taxes and government royalties, and other actions by governments that may adversely affect our operations or existing contractual arrangements, worldwide economic conditions, and other risks described in our 2014 Management's Discussion and Analysis and our Second Quarter 2015 Management's Discussion and Analysis.


Having in mind these and other factors, investors and other readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. They are not a substitute for the exercise of one's own due diligence and judgment. The outcomes implied by forward-looking statements may not occur and we do not undertake to update forward-looking statements except as required by applicable securities laws.

Q & A

Appendix

Methanol is..

- Primarily produced from natural gas



Methanol Consumers


- Concentrated consumer base
 - 30% of global demand from top 20 consumers
- Main consumers are large, global chemical companies:
 - Celanese, BP, Momenive, Skyford, Sabic, BASF, etc.
- Methanex supplies primarily traditional chemical derivative customers who value:
 - Security of supply
 - Global presence
 - Quality product

Methanex Cost Structure

- Natural gas
 - Long-term gas contracts have fixed base price and variable component linked to the price of methanol. This reduces methanol price exposure
 - Medicine Hat gas sourced from Alberta market. Gas price for 90% of requirements hedged to end of 2016, and 40% to end of 2019.
 - Geismar 2 exposed to US spot market; gas price for 40% of gas requirements hedged to 2025
- Freight
 - Fleet of 19 leased and owned time charter vessels supplemented with shorter term COA vessels and spot vessel shipments
 - Integrated supply chain allows benefit of back-haul shipments
 - Network of leased and owned terminals worldwide

- Fixed Manufacturing and G&A costs
 - Primarily people costs (approx. 1100 employees)

Representative Operating Cost Distribution



* Assumes average realized methanol price of approx. US\$400/tonne (gas costs vary with methanol pricing).

Carbon Recycling International - Renewable Methanol in Iceland

- World's greenest methanol – technology captures CO₂ from industrial emissions and converts it into Renewable Methanol
- Sales into Europe gasoline blending market (M3)
- George Olah semi-commercial plant commissioned in 2011
- Completed a project to triple the capacity of the current plant to 4,000 MT, with future plans to add commercial scale plants
- In July '15 Chinese automaker Geely announced plans to invest \$46 million over 3 years in CRI
- Methanex became a CRI shareholder in 2013




CRI's GO Plant in Svartsengi, Iceland




Renewable Methanol

- Methanol and DME is produced from fossil fuels and renewables




LNG = Liquefied Natural Gas; DME = Di-Methyl Ether;
OBATE = On Board Alcohol to Ether (i.e. methanol converted to DME on board ships)

Methanex Global Supply Chain



Operating Rates in China

- China has operated at ~50% based on nameplate capacity; however, market is tighter than it appears and effective operating rate is ~73% (source: MMSA)
- Many plants are not operational due to various factors including: operational problems/maintenance, inability to access feedstock, high cost, swung to ammonia production, emission controls, low rates of coking coal operations



Source: Methanol Markets Services Asia (MMSA); capacity and production includes Methanol to Olefins

Management Alignment

- Executive shareholding requirements:
 - CEO - 5 times salary in Methanex shares or share units
 - Senior executives (5 members) – 3 times salary
 - Other senior management (~50 employees) – 1 times salary
- Short-term incentive linked to ROCE (return on capital employed)
- Long-term incentive targets:
 - Stock options and share appreciation rights
 - Performance share units
 - Payout ratio linked to total shareholder return

“.....Management does well when shareholders do well!”

Methanol / DME as a Fuel Outside China

- Europe is blending methanol into fuel today (up to 3% blending permitted)
- Australia - Coogee demonstration project targeting limited launch of methanol blends in near term
- Israel - M15 demo program (market potential ~400kta), target commercial introduction in the next few years
- Other countries studying or demonstrating fuel blending: Azerbaijan, Denmark, Russia, Uzbekistan, Iran, Netherlands, Switzerland, Egypt, Turkmenistan, Trinidad & Tobago, New Zealand and Germany
- North America
 - Open Fuel Standard Bill recently re-introduced in Congress
 - Oberon Fuels producing DME



Methanol / gasoline pump at
Coogee plant site



Thank You

